1	(Case called)
2	THE DEPUTY CLERK: Counsel, please State your names
3	for the record.
4	MS. KEARNEY: Good afternoon, your Honor. Benet
5	Kearney for the United States.
6	MR. MARGULIS-OHNUMA: For Mr. Depaola, Zachary
7	Margulis-Ohnuma and Victoria Medley, 260 Madison Avenue, New
8	York, New York. Mr. Depaola is virtually present with us.
9	Good afternoon, your Honor.
10	THE COURT: Good afternoon.
11	MS. MEDLEY: Good afternoon.
12	THE COURT: Mr. Depaola, you can see and hear me.
13	Correct?
14	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
15	THE COURT: I can see and hear you.
16	Are you aware you've been charged with violations of
17	federal law?
18	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
19	THE COURT: Have you consulted with your attorney
20	about those charges?
21	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
22	THE COURT: And I understand you wish to enter a plea
23	of guilty to a charge in the indictment against you.
24	Is that correct?
25	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
ı	

1 THE COURT: Do you understand, sir, that you have a 2 right to appear physically before me in a courtroom when you 3 enter your plea of guilty? THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 4 5 THE COURT: And do you also understand you have a 6 constitutional right or at least a statutory right to have your 7 attorney standing with you physically in that courtroom when I 8 sentence you? 9 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 10 THE COURT: And do you understand that the COVID-19 11 pandemic has interfered with our ability to be all together and in the courtroom? 12 13 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 14 THE COURT: And have you discussed those issues with 15 your attorney? 16 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 17 THE COURT: You also have the right to give up your 18 right to stand before me physically in a courtroom and to have 19 your attorney next to you. 20 Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

21

22

2.3

24

25

THE COURT: And I understand that you've decided to waive those rights and to proceed in this fashion -- for the record, we're using a Skype for Business link -- and for me to take your plea today remotely.

1 Is that correct? 2 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 3 THE COURT: I want you to know that you have the right 4 to speak to Mr. Marqulis-Ohnuma privately at any time. So all 5 you have to do is say that you want to speak to your attorney 6 privately, and then we'll arrange for you to be able to speak 7 with him privately, either on a separate cell phone or in a 8 virtual room. 9 Do you understand that? 10 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 11 THE COURT: All right. I do make the finding that we 12 must proceed, that the ends of justice permit us to proceed, 13 and this case cannot be further delayed without serious harm to 14 the interests of justice. 15 Now, I have a document in front of me. It's dated 16 January 20. It's six pages long. I'm going to refer to it as 17 the plea agreement. 18 Mr. Margulis-Ohnuma, is this the plea agreement that 19 your client wishes to plead guilty to? 20 MR. MARGULIS-OHNUMA: Yes, your Honor. 21 THE COURT: Ms. Blakely, if you would administer the 22 oath, please, to the defendant. 2.3 (Defendant sworn)

spell your last name for the record.

THE DEPUTY CLERK: Please state your full name and

24

25

1	THE DEFENDANT: Joseph Depaola, D-e-p-a-o-l-a.
2	THE DEPUTY CLERK: Thank you.
3	THE COURT: Mr. Depaola, do you understand that you
4	are now under oath and that if you answer any of my questions
5	falsely, your false or untrue answers may later be used against
6	you in another prosecution for perjury or for making a false
7	statement?
8	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. I do.
9	THE COURT: How old are you, sir?
10	THE DEFENDANT: Thirty-one.
11	THE COURT: How far did you go in school?
12	THE DEFENDANT: I graduated high school.
13	THE COURT: Are you able to read, write, speak, and
14	understand English?
15	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
16	THE COURT: English is your native language; correct?
17	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
18	THE COURT: Are you now or have you recently been
19	under the care of a doctor or a psychiatrist?
20	THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.
21	THE COURT: Have you ever been treated or hospitalized
22	for any mental illness or any type of addiction, including drug
23	or alcohol addiction?
24	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
25	THE COURT: When was that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Who is that?

2.3

24

25

THE COURT: Do you have counsel here?

1 THE DEFENDANT: Zach. 2 THE COURT: Mr. Margulis-Ohnuma, do you have any doubt 3 as to Mr. Depaola's competence to plead at this time? 4 MR. MARGULIS-OHNUMA: None whatsoever, your Honor. 5 THE COURT: Now, I've been told that you wish to enter 6 a plea of quilty. 7 Is that true? 8 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, it is. 9 THE COURT: Have you had a full opportunity to discuss 10 your case with Mr. Margulis-Ohnuma and Ms. Medley? THE DEFENDANT: I have. 11 12 THE COURT: And to discuss the consequences of 13 entering a plea of quilty? 14 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. I have. 15 THE COURT: Are you satisfied with your attorneys and 16 their representation of you? 17 THE DEFENDANT: I am, your Honor. 18 THE COURT: On the basis of Mr. Depaola's responses to 19 my questions and my observations of his demeanor, I make the 20 finding that he is fully competent to enter an informed plea at 21 this time. 22 Before I accept a plea from you, sir, I'm going to be 2.3 asking you certain questions. Those questions are intended to

24

25

satisfy me that you --

(Pause)

THE COURT: All right. Let's proceed.

I should have said before that this is of course a public proceeding and there can be other people involved — not "involved" but other people listening. There is a right to a public trial and at every material part of the proceeding.

I don't know if we're being heard by the UN or whatever, but just be aware of what happened. That is, we were listening to a talk. It appeared to be a speech on the current political situation in Myanmar. So this may be an open line, but let's continue.

(Pause)

2.3

THE COURT: Before I accept a plea from you,

Mr. Depaola, I'm going to be asking you certain questions that

are intended to satisfy me that you want to plead guilty

because you are actually guilty and that you understand

completely the consequences, which are substantial, that will

flow if you enter a plea today and if I accept it.

I'm going to be describing to you certain rights you have under the Constitution and laws of the United States.

You're going to be giving up those rights if you enter a plea of guilty today, sir.

Listen to me carefully. And if you don't understand anything that I'm saying or asking you, stop me and tell me that. I'll answer any questions you have. You can speak to your attorney privately. You can ask him or her any questions

1 you want.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

Do you understand all that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Under the Constitution and laws of the United States, you have a right to a speedy and public trial by a jury on the charges against you which are contained in indictment 19 CR 833.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: If there were a trial, Mr. Depaola, you would be presumed innocent, and the government would be required to prove you guilty by competent evidence beyond a reasonable doubt. You would not have to prove you were innocent at a trial.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: If there were a trial, a jury composed of 12 people selected from the Southern District of New York would have to agree -- and they'd have to agree unanimously -- that you are guilty.

Do you understand those rights?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: You have a right to be represented by an attorney at trial and at every other stage of the proceedings. If you cannot afford an attorney, one will be provided to you

1 | at no cost to you.

2.3

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: If there were a trial, you would have the right to see and hear all of the witnesses against you, and your attorney could cross-examine them. You would have a right to have your attorney object to the government's evidence and offer evidence on your own behalf, if you so desired. And you would also have the right to have subpoenas issued or other compulsory process used to compel witnesses to testify in your defense.

Do you understand those rights?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: If there were a trial, you would have the right to testify if you wanted to, but no one could force you to testify if you did not want to. In addition, no inference or suggestion of guilt could be drawn if you chose not to testify at trial.

Do you understand those rights?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand that by entering a plea of guilty today, you're giving up each and every one of the rights I've been listing for you, sir, and that you're waiving those rights and there will be no trial in the action against you?

1 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. I do. 2 THE COURT: Do you understand that you can change your 3 mind right now and refuse to enter a plea of guilty? 4 You don't have to enter a plea of quilty if you do not 5 want to for any reason. Do you understand that? 6 7 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 8 THE COURT: Have you received a copy of the indictment 9 in this case? 10 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I have. 11 THE COURT: Did you read it? THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 12 13 Did you discuss it with your attorneys? THE COURT: 14 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. We have. 15 THE COURT: You have the right to have me read that 16 indictment right now. Or if you don't want me to, you can 17 waive my reading of it. 18 Do you want me to read it, or no? 19 THE DEFENDANT: No. Thank you. 20 THE COURT: All right. I accept that as a knowing and 21 voluntary waiver of the right to have the indictment read in 22 open court. And because of the COVID-19 pandemic and the fact 2.3 that this is a public line, this is deemed to be open court.

with participating in a conspiracy to commit wire fraud in

Do you understand that you're charged in Count One

24

25

connection with the conduct of telemarketing in violation of 18 U.S. Code, Sections 1349 and 2326?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand that if you do not plead guilty, the government would have to prove each and every part or element of the charge in that indictment beyond a reasonable doubt?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: All right. Ms. Kearney, set forth the elements, please, of Count One.

MS. KEARNEY: Yes, your Honor. If we had proceeded to trial on Count One, the government would have been required to prove beyond a reasonable doubt:

First, that two or more persons in some way or manner agreed to try and accomplish a common and unlawful plan to commit wire fraud; and second, that the defendant knew the unlawful purpose of that plan and willfully joined in it.

With respect to the wire fraud object, that has three elements:

First, that there was a scheme or artifice to defraud or to obtain money or property by means of false and fraudulent pretenses, representations, or promises.

Second, that the defendant knowingly and willfully participated in that scheme.

And third, that in the execution of that scheme, the

defendant used or caused the use of interstate wires.

Additionally, under Section 2326, the government would be required to prove that the offense was committed in connection with telemarketing and that it either victimized ten or more people over the age of 65 or that it targeted people over the age of 65.

The government would also be required to prove venue in the Southern District of New York by a preponderance of the evidence.

THE COURT: Do you understand, Mr. Depaola, those are the elements the government has to prove, all of them beyond a reasonable doubt, except for the element, or the question rather, of venue, which the government's burden is to prove by a preponderance of the evidence?

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Do you understand that the crime to which you're entering a plea of guilty, that is, Count One, carries a maximum term of imprisonment of 30 years, a maximum term of supervised release of 5 years, a maximum fine of the greatest of \$250,000 or twice the gross pecuniary gain derived from the offense or twice the gross pecuniary loss to individuals other than you resulting from the offense, and a \$100 mandatory special assessment?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Now, in describing to you just now what the maximum penalty was, I said that part of that maximum penalty was five years of supervised release.

Supervised release, sir, means you're going to be subject to monitoring upon your release from prison. And that monitoring is to be under terms and conditions which could lead to your re-imprisonment without a jury trial for all or part of the term of supervised release and without credit for time previously served on post-release supervision if you violate any term or condition of supervised release.

Do you understand that?

2.3

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Do you also understand that I can order restitution to anyone injured as a result of your criminal conduct?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand that if I accept your guilty plea today, sir, and determine you to be guilty of Count One, that determination will deprive you of such valuable civil rights as the right to vote, the right to hold public office, the right to serve on a jury, and the right to possess any kind of firearm?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Now, Mr. Margulis-Ohnuma, I assume -- I shouldn't assume.

1 Is your client a United States citizen?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

MR. MARGULIS-OHNUMA: Yes. He is, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

Mr. Depaola, under current law, there are sentencing guidelines that I have to use when applying the factors set forth in 18 U.S. Code, Section 3553(a) to determine what your sentence is going to be in this case.

Have you talked to your attorneys about the sentencing guidelines?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I have.

THE COURT: Do you understand that I won't be able to determine what the appropriate guideline range is until after I receive a presentence report that's going to be completed by the probation office?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: You're going to be interviewed by them, sir, and your attorneys will be able to be there. I need you to give them accurate and complete information because I read that report very carefully.

And you and your attorneys and the government will be able to file any objections to the report prior to sentencing, and I'll adjudicate those objections, if any. But do give them honest and complete information.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

THE COURT: Now, after I receive that report and after I receive any information you and your lawyers and the government for that matter want to give me, I'll determine what the guideline range is in this case.

But even after I do that, I can impose a sentence that is higher than the guidelines, in other words, sentence you to more prison than the guidelines call for, or for that matter, fewer months in prison, a lower sentence, than the guidelines call for based on a departure from the guidelines.

Do you understand that?

2.3

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Even after I do that, I then have to apply all of the factors in Section 3553(a) to determine what a fair, reasonable, and appropriate sentence is and one that's sufficient but not greater than necessary to meet the ends of the criminal justice system.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Now, do you understand that if you're sentenced to prison, the system of parole that used to exist no longer does?

Parole has been abolished. So if I sentence you to prison, you will serve that term in prison. You will not be released any earlier on parole.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: I do, sir.

2.3

THE COURT: Do you understand that if anyone has attempted to tell you what your sentence is going to be or even if you think you know what your sentence is going to be, everybody could be wrong?

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: I do. Yes.

THE COURT: And the reason is I'm the one who's going to sentence you. And I don't know what your sentence is going to be because I don't know enough about you -- about your crime; your upbringing; your criminal record, if any; your finances; your role in this crime; your education -- a lot of things.

I need to know much more about you and the crime that you've committed before I can determine what a fair sentence is. So since I'm going to sentence you and I don't know what you're going to be sentenced to, nobody else can know what you're going to be sentenced to.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: And if you are in fact sentenced to something by me that's different than what anyone has told you it could be or will be or should be or even if it's different from what you think it's going to be, you still are going to be bound to this guilty plea, sir. You won't be allowed to

18 1 withdraw it, and you will serve that term in prison. 2 Do you understand? 3 THE DEFENDANT: I understand. 4 THE COURT: Now, at the beginning of this allocution, 5 I discussed the January -- I referred to the January 20 letter, 6 which I'll call the plea agreement. And the copy that I have 7 on page 6 has what appears to be your signature and that of 8 Mr. Margulis-Ohnuma. And it's dated January 27. 9 Did you sign that agreement, sir? 10 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I did, your Honor. 11 THE COURT: Did you read it before you signed it? THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 12 13 THE COURT: Did you discuss it with your attorneys 14 before you signed it? THE DEFENDANT: Yes. We did. 15 16 Did you fully understand it, sir, at the THE COURT: 17 time you signed it? 18 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 19 THE COURT: Does this letter agreement constitute your 20 complete and total understanding of the entire agreement 21 between the government, your attorney, and yourself? 22 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, it does. 2.3 THE COURT: Is everything about your plea and sentence

THE COURT: Is everything about your plea and sentence contained in this agreement?

24

25

In other words, are there any side arrangements I

1 | should know about?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.

THE COURT: Okay. Is everything that I need to know about your plea and sentence contained in this document?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Has anyone offered you any inducements or threatened you or forced you to plead guilty or to enter into the plea agreement?

THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.

THE COURT: Now, do you understand that in this plea agreement, you agreed to forfeit to the United States \$100,000 in United States currency?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand that in this agreement, you have agreed to make restitution in a sum ordered by me?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Now, do you happen to have a copy of the agreement in front of you?

THE DEFENDANT: I don't, your Honor. I can pull it up.

THE COURT: That's all right. You don't have to have it.

Mr. Margulis-Ohnuma, do you have one? You're muted, sir.

MR. MARGULIS-OHNUMA: Sorry. I do, your Honor.

THE COURT: If you'll turn to page 2, you'll see that 1 2 the defendant is referred throughout with the pronoun "her" --"her" allocution, "her" intentional, imposed on "her. "This is 3 4 simply a conspiracy by Ms. Kearney and Ms. Fletcher. 5 But apart from that, I take it that you accept the fact that the reference is to "him," meaning the defendant. 6 7 MR. MARGULIS-OHNUMA: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: Obviously just so the record is clear, 8 9 it's not a conspiracy by Ms. Kearney and Ms. Fletcher. 10 simply a typographical error, or I may say that it's the 11 government using a form. 12 MS. KEARNEY: That's correct, your Honor. I can 13 confirm that was an oversight. 14 THE COURT: That's all right. 15 Now, do you also understand that in this agreement, 16 you, Mr. Depaola, otherwise known as "him" or "he," have 17 stipulated to the fact that the guideline range is 46 to 57 18 months? 19 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. THE COURT: Do you understand that in this agreement, 20 21

THE COURT: Do you understand that in this agreement, you've waived your right actually to file an appeal and to file a collateral attack on the sentence if I sentence you to 57 months in prison or fewer months?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes. I understand.

22

2.3

24

25

THE COURT: Do you understand that the intent of this

agreement is to waive all defenses based on the statute of limitations?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

2.3

THE COURT: And do you understand that because the system of parole that used to exist in the federal criminal justice system no longer exists -- parole has been abolished.

Because of that, if I sentence you to prison or when I sentence you to prison, you will have to serve that whole term in prison. You won't be released any earlier on parole.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes. I understand.

THE COURT: Mr. Margulis-Ohnuma, do you know of any valid defense that would prevail at trial or know of any reason why your client should not be permitted to plead guilty?

MR. MARGULIS-OHNUMA: I do not, your Honor.

THE COURT: In your view, sir, is there an adequate factual basis to support Mr. Depaola's plea of guilty?

MR. MARGULIS-OHNUMA: Yes.

THE COURT: Government, is there an adequate factual basis, in the view of the government, to support this defendant's plea of guilty?

MS. KEARNEY: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right, Mr. Depaola. Tell me now what you did in connection with Count One, that is, the crime to which you're entering a plea of guilty.

THE DEFENDANT: So from June of 2018 till roughly 1 2 August of 2019, I was a part of a telemarketing floor in New 3 Jersey where we were selling business opportunities to people 4 whom I knew were not going to make money with those 5 investments. I also knew that ten or more of them were over 6 the age of 55. 7 THE COURT: Where was the floor? 8 THE DEFENDANT: In Englewood, New Jersey, as well as 9 Montvale, New Jersey. 10 THE COURT: Were any of the calls made into Manhattan 11 or the Southern District of New York? Your calls. If you 12 know. 13 THE DEFENDANT: I'm not positive. I would assume so, 14 your Honor. 15 THE COURT: Without an assumption, Mr. Margulis-Ohnuma, what's the venue connection here? 16 17 MS. KEARNEY: If I may, your Honor. 18 THE COURT: Yes. 19 MS. KEARNEY: Certain of the victims of the total 20 conspiracy were located in the Southern District of New York. 21 Off the top of my head, I'm thinking of one in the Bronx. 22 THE COURT: Mr. Depaola, when you did these things, 2.3 did you know that what you were doing was wrong and illegal? 24 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Now, you said you were selling business

25

18

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Is there anything else,

Mr. Margulis-Ohnuma, that you want on the allocution?

MR. MARGULIS-OHNUMA: No. No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Ms. Kearney?

1 MS. KEARNEY: No. Thank you.

2.3

THE COURT: What's the evidence, Ms. Kearney, that you have against this defendant?

MS. KEARNEY: With respect to Mr. Depaola, your Honor, the evidence would consist of the testimony of victims of the wire fraud, as well as cooperating witnesses.

It would also consist of evidence that was obtained from searches that were executed on the two telemarketing floors, as well as email accounts that were used in connection with the telemarketing scheme.

THE COURT: Mr. Depaola, how do you now plead to the charge in Count One? Guilty or not guilty?

THE DEFENDANT: Guilty, your Honor.

THE COURT: Are you pleading guilty, sir, because you are guilty?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Are you pleading guilty voluntarily and of your own free will?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. I am.

THE COURT: Because you acknowledge you are guilty as charged, because I find you know your rights and are waiving them knowingly and voluntarily, because I find your plea is entered knowingly and voluntarily and is supported by an independent basis in fact containing each of the essential elements of the offense, I accept your guilty plea,

25 1 Mr. Depaola, and I adjudge you guilty of Count One. 2 Just a moment. 3 (Pause) 4 THE COURT: Ms. Blakely, could you give me a 5 sentencing date and make it on a day where we have other people in this conspiracy, this fraud. 6 7 THE DEPUTY CLERK: We can do April 26 at 3:30. 8 THE COURT: All right. I'm going to set the date for 9 sentencing at April 26, 2021, at --10 Did you say 3:30? 11 THE DEPUTY CLERK: Yes. 12 THE COURT: At 3:30. Let's work on the assumption 13 that it will be in my courtroom at 500 Pearl Street. If not 14 and if there's a waiver and consent, we'll do it virtually. 15 MR. MARGULIS-OHNUMA: Judge, if I may. I anticipate 16 being on trial at that time if things open up like we're hoping 17 they will. But I think, given the vagaries of the PSR process, 18 I would let you know when it's closer to the date if we need to 19 adjourn that. 20 THE COURT: I understand that. Fine. Given the 21 vagaries of when things go to trial also because that other 22 trial may not proceed.

Is it federal or state?

2.3

24

25

MR. MARGULIS-OHNUMA: It's a federal case in the Eastern District. The defendant has been in prison for over

THE COURT: All right. I don't have any reason to think that you will violate any condition now. Up to now, nothing has been brought to my attention. Just make sure it continues that way.

Do you understand?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do, sir.

THE COURT: Is there anything else, Ms. Kearney?

MS. KEARNEY: No. Thank you very much, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Margulis-Ohnuma or Ms. Medley,

anything?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

MR. MARGULIS-OHNUMA: No, your Honor. Thank you.